The concept of Food Waste and Food Losses Prevention and Measuring Tools

G. Chatziparaskeva*, I. Papamichael, G. Pappas, and A. A. Zorpas

Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, Laboratory of Chemical Engineering and Engineering Sustainability, Open University of Cyprus, Giannou Kranidioti, 33, 2202 Nicosia, Cyprus Tel +357 22 411936

> Keywords: food waste, food losses, measuring, tools, supply chain *Presenting author email: georgia.chatziparaskeva1@ouc.ac.cy

Abstract

According to the U.N. Environment Program's 2021 Food Waste Index Report, approximately 931 million metric tons of food end up in the garbage. According to Swannel (2021) this quantities are enough to fill 23 million annual to 40 ton trucks 'bumper to bumper, to circle the Earth seven times'. At the same time, FAO estimates that one-third of food producers worldwide is wasted, which contributes more than 820 million people who are hungry annually with the result, food waste (FW) and food losses (FL) are one of the main issues worldwide. It is not only an ethical and economic issue but is also depletes the environment of limited natural resources. In addition, FW accounts for 25% of the annual freshwater supply and approximately 300 million barrels of oil annually (Danible et al., 2021). Greenhouse gas emissions from the production of food are ultimately wasted account for 7.2 billion tones came from within the farm gate, 3.5 from land use change, and 5.8 billion from supply chain processes, according the last analysis (FAO, 2019).

SDG 12.3 aims to halve FW at the retail and consumer level and reduce FL along the food value chain. FW reduction is gaining interest as one aspect in making the food system more sustainable and merits specific mention in the UN SDG's (FAO, 2022). To reduce FW, is important to introduce four fields of action: politics, business, changing behavior of all actors involved, and potential of research and digitalization (Strotmann et al., 2021). Although all actors in the food chain have a role to play in preventing and reducing FW, from producers and processors of food to retailers and consumers. It should also be recognized, that loss reduction is not only a policy objective but can be conducive to improving the efficiency of the supply chain, use of natural resources, or food security.

An integrated and efficient tool for the management of FW could help address several of the most significant problem challenges, such as climate change, and economic, environmental, and social security. As an example among the tendencies, active packaging and intelligent packaging system offer a huge potential to reduce FW (Racionero et al.,2018).

This paper attempts to partly fill the research gap of measuring tools and approaches/methods in all the supply chains based on a literature review. Also is important to investigate the current methodologies (tools, quantifyquantity methods, mapping) to find the advantages and disadvantages and suggest optimal solutions. The research and international community have actively engaged on the conceptual frameworks underpinning Food Losses and Waste (FLW) on the measurements approaches and the policy interventions to reduce FLW. Critical information gaps and data (imported quantities, detailed production steps, produced quantities, edible or inedible quantities, quantities that can be used or not) needs are evident in order to correlate reduction methods and quantification methods.

The proposed research focuses on the assessment of the existing FW and/or FL methodologies as well as on the existing applications that are been used from different geographical scales (e.g. Sweden, Norway, Spain, Cyprus, Greece) on the supply chain (e.g. industries, hospitality, packaging, consumers, local authorities, restaurant, retailing). A literature review has been performed using PRISMA Statement (Voukkali and Zorpas 2022; Pappas et al., 2022) using the bibliometric database Scopus. Preliminarily, a screening of the documents including the keywords 'FL'AND 'FW'AND 'supply chain' AND 'measurement methods' AND 'tools', 'quantitative' OR 'qualitative'. The first screening of publications, on one hand, led to identifying several studies presenting technological solutions (e.g. improved different sectors, temperature, storage facilities) to increase the efficiency of reduction of FW/FL. On the other hand, studies were identified that concerned the creation of tools (visual mapping, automatic tools, packaging tools, collating and analyzing FLW tools, mobile/web tools), for measuring FW or/and FL. The search was bound to papers published or available in the database from 2002 until 2022 focused on primary production, manufacturing, distribution, and consumption.

A part of the research was found that only 61% of all waste- quantifying aspects had reduced their FW, indicating that quantification in itself is not a guarantee of waste reduction (Eriksson et al., 2019) and that's the main reason that it' important to engage with prevention methods.

As a preliminary result it can be said that considering the advantages and weaknesses of the existing tools and methodologies a new hybrid approach must be developed in order to cover the demand of the European Directive 2008/98 which requires that members states should have a common methodology to measure and assess FW and FL form the entire value chain.

References:

Danible Kaitlin, Panizza Chloe, Boushey Carol, Kerr Deborah, Zhu Fengqing, 2021. A Novel Tool for Measuring Food Waste: The Mobile Food Record, 59, 3.

Eriksson Mattias, Malefors Christopher, Cllewaert Pieter, Hartikainen Hanna, Pietilainen Oona, Strid Ingrid, 2019. What gets measure gets managed – or does it? Connection between food waste quantification and food waste reduction in the hospital sector.

FAO, 2019. Food systems Count for more than one third of global greenhouse gas emissions. Available at: <u>https://www.fao.org/news/story/en/item/1379373/icode/</u> [Accessed 5 January 2022]

FAO, 2021. Sustainable Development Goals. Available at: <u>https://www.fao.org/sustainable-development-goals/indicators/1231/en/</u> [Accessed 5 January 2022]

Pricipato Ludovica, Di Leo Alessio, Mattia Giovanni, Pratesi Carlo Alberto, 2020. The next step in sustainable dining: The restaurant food waste map for the management of food waste.

Pappas Georgios, Papamichael Iliana, Zorpas Antonis, Rutkowski Jacob, Politopoulos Konstantinos, Joshua E. Siegel, 2022. Modelling the Key Performance Indicators in a Unifies Gamified Waste Management Tool. 3,27-53.

Racionero Elisa Poyatos, Jose Vicente Ros-Lis, Jose-Luis Vivancos, Ramon Martínez-Manez, 2018. Recent advances on intelligent packaging as tools to reduce food waste.172, 3398-3409.

Swannell Richard, 2021. WRAP. Available at : https://wrap.org.uk/about-us/our-plan [Accessed 5 January 2022]

Strotmann Christina, Baur Vanessa, Bornert Nora, Gerwin Paula, 2021. Generation and prevention of food waste in the German food service sector in the COVID-19 pandemic – Digital approaches to encounter the pandemic related crisis.

Voukkali Irene, Zorpas A. Antonis, 2022. Evaluation of Urban Metabolism assessment methods through SWOT Analysis and Analytical Hierocracy Process, 807 150700.